

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1844 of 1984

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

NOOTAN ENGINEERING WORKS

Versus

VADILAL DAMODARDAS SHAH

Appearance:

MR NITIN M AMIN for Petitioners

MR RN SHAH for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

Date of decision: 18/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

A xerox copy of the terms of compromise entered
into between the parties outside the Court is being
tendered by the learned counsel for the revisionist with
a request that it may be placed on record. Mr R.N.Shah,
learned counsel for the respondent states that the
respondent-landlord has already received possession of
the tenanted premises and nothing survives in this
revision. On these facts the revision application has
become infructuous and the same is dismissed as

infructuous. No order as to costs.

(mohd)